EXHIBIT 3

Highly Confidential - Subject to Further Confidentiality Review Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 5437-4 Filed: 05/13/24 2 of 22. PageID #: 635805

```
1
          IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
           FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
 3
                     EASTERN DIVISION
 4
5
    IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION: MDL NO. 2804
    OPIATE LITIGATION
 6
7
    THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: : Case No. 17-md-2804
                                  : Judge Dan A. Polster
8
    Case Track 8
9
10
                 Friday, December 2, 2022
11
                   HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
       SUBJECT TO FURTHER CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
12
13
               Remote videotaped deposition of
14
    KATHERINE S. LEONARD, commencing at 9:05 a.m., on the
15
    above date, before Carol A. Kirk, Registered Merit
    Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter, and Notary
16
17
    Public.
18
19
20
21
22
23
                GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
            877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
2.4
                     deps@golkow.com
```

Highly Confidential - Subject to Further Confidentiality Review Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 5437-4 Filed: 05/13/24 3 of 22. PageID #: 635806

```
1
           REMOTE APPEARANCES
2
    On behalf of the Plaintiffs:
4
           SIMMONS HANLY CONROY
                SARAH BURNS, ESQUIRE
5
                sburns@simmonsfirm.com
           One Court Street
6
           Alton, Illinois 62002
           618-693-3104
7
           SIMMONS HANLY CONROY
8
           BY: ELLYN HURD, ESQUIRE
                ehurd@simmonsfirm.com
9
           112 Madison Avenue, 7th Floor
           New York, New York 10016
10
           212-257-8482
11
    On behalf of Defendant Publix Super Markets, Inc.:
12
           BARNES & THORNBURG LLP
13
           BY: MEREDITH THORNBURGH WHITE, ESQUIRE
                meredith.white@btlaw.com
14
           11 South Meridian Street
           Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
15
           317-231-6417
16
17
18
    ALSO PRESENT:
19
           Brannen Wilson, Publix
           Bill Geigert, Videographer
20
           Gina Veldman, Trial Tech
21
22
23
2.4
```

1	INDEX TO EXAMINATION	
2	WITNESS	PAGE
3	KATHERINE S. LEONARD	
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BURNS	7
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1		INDEX TO EXHIBITS	
2	LEONARD	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	Exhibit 1	LinkedIn Profile for Kathy Leonard	23
4			
5	Exhibit 2	Personnel file for Katherine Leonard, PUBLIX-MDLT8-00149314 through 149648	55
6	Exhibit 3	E-mail to D. Santaniello from	80
7	EXHIBIC 3	K. Leonard, dated 5/29/2019, with attachment Bates-stamped	80
8		PUBLIX-MDLT8-00132823 through 132863	
9	Exhibit 4	Article from Comprehensive	131
10		Review Pain titled "Rates of opioid misuse, abuse, and	
11		<pre>addiction in chronic pain: a systematic review and data synthesis"</pre>	
1.2		_	1 [1
13	Exhibit 5	E-mail string ending with an e-mail to K. Leonard from K. Leonard, dated 3/26/2021,	151
15		with attachment, Bates-stamped PUBLIX-MDLT8-00115817 through 115821	
16			
17	Exhibit 6	E-mail to C. Madill to Pharmacy Stores, dated 4/7/2021, Bates-stamped PUBLIX-MDLT8-	172
18		00115907 through 115914	
19	Exhibit 7	E-mail string ending with an e-mail to K. Leonard from	189
20		E. Phillips, dated 4/13/2021, Bates-stamped PUBLIX-MDLT8-	
21		00083964 through 83967	
22	Exhibit 8	E-mail to D. Rusk and K. Petti from K. Leonard, dated	194
23		3/31/2021, Bates-stamped PUBLIX-MDLT8-00116136	
24		TODELY FIDELO COLLOTSO	

1	INI	DEX TO EXHIBITS (CONT'D)	
2	LEONARD	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	Exhibit 9	E-mail to C. Madill and others from K. Skatzes, dated	199
4		4/15/2021, Bates-stamped PUBLIX-MDLT8-00115835 and	
5		115836	
6	Exhibit 10	PowerPoint titled "Publix Opioid Task Force,"	208
7		Bates-stamped P-PUB-0728	
8	Exhibit 11	Video Hour Invite podcast, Episode 4, featuring Dr. Kathy	245
9		Leonard of Publix	
10	Exhibit 12	NSU College of Pharmacy video	250
11	Exhibit 13	E-mail string ending with an e-mail to S. Klicker and	252
12		others, dated 4/28/2019, with attachment, Bates-stamped	
13		P-PUB-0729, PUBLIX-MDLT8- 00115771	
14	Exhibit 14	Dain Rusk video	257
15			
16			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning.
5	We are now on the record. My name is
6	Bill Geigert. I'm a videographer for
7	Golkow Litigation Services.
8	Today's date is December 2, 2022,
9	and the time is 9:05 a.m. This remote
10	video deposition is being held in the
11	matter of National Prescription Opiate
12	Litigation for the United States
13	District Court for the Northern District
14	of Ohio, Eastern Division.
15	The deponent is Kathy Leonard.
16	All parties to this deposition are
17	appearing remotely and have agreed to
18	the witness being sworn in remotely.
19	Due to the nature of remote
20	reporting, please pause briefly before
21	speaking to ensure all parties are heard
22	completely.
23	All counsel will be noted on the
24	stenographic record.

Highly Confidential - Subject to Further Confidentiality Review Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 5437-4 Filed: 05/13/24 8 of 22. PageID #: 635811

```
1
                   The court reporter is Carol Kirk,
2.
             and she will now swear in the witness.
 3
 4
                   KATHERINE S. LEONARD
5
    being by me first duly sworn, as hereinafter
 6
    certified, deposes and says as follows:
7
                    CROSS-EXAMINATION
    BY MS. BURNS:
8
 9
                   Good morning, Ms. Leonard. My
             0.
10
    name is Sarah Burns. We met briefly off the
11
    record before this deposition. I represent
12
    plaintiff, Cobb County, and other plaintiffs
13
    around the country in this litigation.
14
                   May I -- would you please state
15
    your full name and spell your full name for the
    record.
16
17
             Α.
                   Sure. My full name is Katherine,
18
    K-a-t-h-e-r-i-n-e, middle name is Schroder,
19
    S-c-h-r-o-d-e-r, and my last name is Leonard,
20
    L-e-o-n-a-r-d.
21
                   Have you gone by any other names
22
    during the course of your career at Publix?
23
                   Yes, I have gone by other names in
             Α.
24
    the course of my career at Publix.
```

Highly Confidential - Subject to Further Confidentiality Review Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 5437-4 Filed: 05/13/24 9 of 22. PageID #: 635812

- 1 A. Yeah, Publix has opened more
- 2 stores since 2019. In the time that this
- 3 PowerPoint was presented, that was the number of
- 4 Publix pharmacies which differs from the number
- 5 of Publix stores.
- 6 Q. And about how many Publix
- 7 pharmacies does Publix operate currently?
- 8 A. Currently, I would say 1,200
- 9 and -- maybe 1,232.
- 10 Q. And earlier you testified that
- 11 during your time as a pharmacy supervisor, that
- 12 each pharmacy employed two -- usually employed
- 13 two pharmacists.
- 14 Is that the case today?
- 15 A. It's still the standard for the
- 16 pharmacy business, depending on individual
- 17 business at a location. If volume is higher,
- 18 they may earn additional overlap pharmacist
- 19 hours or an additional pharmacist at their
- 20 location. As business grows, more labor is put
- 21 into those stores.
- Q. But the standard is two
- 23 pharmacists per store, correct?
- 24 A. It's based off of business. So

Highly Confidential - Subject to Further Confidentiality Review Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 5437-4 Filed: 05/13/24 10 of 22. PageID #: 635813

- 1 had paper or something, but I'm not certain.
- Q. Where would you look to determine
- 3 if you have notes of this meeting?
- 4 A. I would look in my e-mail.
- 5 Q. And were there subsequent meetings
- 6 after this one of the opioid task force?
- 7 A. Not that I recall.
- 8 Q. So this was the first and only
- 9 meeting of the opioid task force?
- 10 A. That is my recollection. Yes.
- 11 Q. Did Marlena Kelley or Patrick
- 12 Cashman (inaudible) at this meeting?
- 13 A. You broke up, Sarah. Can you
- 14 repeat that?
- 15 Q. Did Marlena Kelley or
- 16 Patrick Cashman speak during the opioid task
- force portion of this meeting?
- 18 A. I think the meeting was a
- 19 discussion. I think Kim kind of took the lead
- on expressing her thoughts like she had done
- 21 previously at the advocacy meeting, and I think
- 22 it was a discussion from that that all of the
- 23 attendees, to some degree, would have
- 24 participated. I don't remember specifics.

Highly Confidential - Subject to Further Confidentiality Review Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 5437-4 Filed: 05/13/24 11 of 22. PageID #: 635814

```
1
                   Marlena and Patrick don't stand
    out to me as much in my memory as Kim, but that
 3
    may just be because it was her initial feedback
 4
    as well. But I don't have full recollection of
 5
    that meeting and who presented what or the
    extent of time that they took up in that
 6
7
    two-hour time slot.
8
             0.
                   Were there any action items
 9
    created as a result of the opioid task force
10
    portion of this meeting?
11
             Α.
                   I don't recall specific action
12
    items that came out as a result.
13
             0.
                   Were there any follow-up items
14
    developed as a result of the opioid task force
15
    portion of this meeting?
                   I don't recall any specific
16
             Α.
17
    follow-up action items.
18
             Q.
                   Were there any projects planned as
19
    a result of the opioid task force portion of
20
    this meeting?
21
                   I don't recall.
             Α.
22
             Q.
                   Were there any materials presented
23
    during the course of the opioid task force
24
    portion of this meeting?
```

Highly Confidential - Subject to Further Confidentiality Review Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 5437-4 Filed: 05/13/24 12 of 22. PageID #: 635815

- 1 A. I recall this as being a
- discussion led by Kim, and then open discussion
- 3 amongst a group of pharmacists.
- 4 Q. You do not recall any materials
- 5 being presented as a part of the opioid task
- 6 force portion of this meeting?
- 7 A. I do not have documents. I
- 8 remember it being a discussion that was led by
- 9 Kim, and then a discussion with -- I'm sorry --
- 10 with a group of attendees that at all levels are
- 11 pharmacists.
- 12 So when I say a group of
- 13 pharmacists having the discussion, I'm not just
- 14 referring to Kim, Marlena, and Patrick. It was
- 15 a discussion for that whole group of
- 16 pharmacists.
- 17 Q. Yeah, I'm not asking if you have
- any documents pertaining to this meeting. I'm
- 19 asking you if you recall any documents being
- 20 shown or distributed at this meeting.
- 21 A. I do not.
- Q. Do you recall if Kim Barnard,
- 23 Marlena Kelley, or Patrick Cashman ever gave any
- other presentations or discussions as part of

Highly Confidential - Subject to Further Confidentiality Review Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 5437-4 Filed: 05/13/24 13 of 22. PageID #: 635816

- 1 their roles as members of the Publix opioid task
- 2 force?
- A. I'm not aware of any.
- 4 Q. Did you assign them to give any
- 5 presentations or participate in any discussions
- 6 as members of Publix opioid task force?
- 7 A. I did not give them that direction
- 8 or any assignment to present any further.
- 9 Q. Are you aware of them giving any
- 10 presentations or participating in any
- 11 discussions as members of Publix opioid task
- 12 force?
- 13 A. I feel like that's the same
- 14 question. Did I miss something in that? Can
- 15 you repeat that? That's not the same question
- 16 you just asked me?
- 17 Q. No. The question I asked you
- 18 previously was whether you assigned them to give
- 19 any discussions or presentations.
- I'm asking you if you are aware of
- 21 any discussions or presentations.
- 22 A. I'm not.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if there's a
- 24 different Publix opioid task force anywhere

Highly Confidential - Subject to Further Confidentiality Review Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 5437-4 Filed: 05/13/24 14 of 22. PageID #: 635817

- 1 other than the one that first met on May 5,
- 2 2021?
- A. I am not aware of anything beyond
- 4 this team that I put together, I named, to give
- 5 them a platform for collaboration as
- 6 pharmacists.
- 7 Q. Is Kim Barnard still with Publix?
- 8 A. Yes. Kim Barnard is still with
- 9 Publix.
- 10 Q. Is Marlena Kelley still with
- 11 Publix?
- 12 A. She is still with Publix.
- 13 Q. Is Patrick Cashman still with
- 14 Publix?
- 15 A. Yeah, Patrick Cashman is still
- 16 with Publix.
- 17 O. Is there an official date that the
- 18 Publix opioid task force disbanded.
- 19 A. I don't know that it was that
- 20 formal for me to know I needed to disband
- 21 something. I think I named it something that
- 22 would seem important to the members that are on
- 23 it. So I thought that had a strong -- it was a
- 24 strong title.

Highly Confidential - Subject to Further Confidentiality Review Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 5437-4 Filed: 05/13/24 15 of 22. PageID #: 635818

```
But to me, it was taking feedback
```

- 2 from one, giving her the opportunity to
- 3 collaborate with other pharmacists and present
- 4 to me where she felt any additional information
- 5 is needed on top of what we already do.
- And in that presentation, felt
- 7 more like it was trying to create a new role for
- 8 Kim or for one individual based on what was
- 9 presented. In my opinion, we have more
- 10 resources across our company that are focused on
- 11 that.
- 12 Kim just doesn't have the same
- 13 range of view because she's in one store. So I
- 14 didn't -- I didn't feel the need to continue it
- 15 based on the feedback that I -- that was
- 16 presented in that meeting.
- 17 Q. Did you consider continuing the
- 18 opioid task force but not including Kim Barnard
- 19 as part of the task force?
- 20 A. No. That was never a
- 21 consideration. There just -- I didn't see the
- 22 need to continue.
- Q. You didn't see a need to continue
- 24 the opioid task force?

```
1
                   Yeah. Based on what was
             Α.
    presented, there were things that we were
 3
    already doing in our stores on a bigger scale
 4
    than what was presented. So I didn't think that
5
    that was the right direction to go, to single it
 6
    out to Kim. I felt like we had strong resources
7
    across, you know, our states with our
8
    supervisors that are pharmacists.
9
10
         (Leonard Deposition Exhibit 10 marked.)
11
    BY MS. BURNS:
12
13
             Q.
                   Okay. Let's take a look at
14
    P-PUB-728.
15
                   Does this document look familiar
16
    to you?
17
                   MS. WHITE: Hold on one second.
18
             I'm just getting -- moving it over here.
19
             I didn't want to open anything that I
20
             wasn't supposed to open.
21
                   Okay. I'm good.
22
             Α.
                   This I feel like I saw as part
23
    of -- I've seen it. Yes, I've seen this.
24
             Q.
                   You've seen this. And did you see
```

Highly Confidential - Subject to Further Confidentiality Review Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 5437-4 Filed: 05/13/24 17 of 22. PageID #: 635820

```
1
    someone else. You're a licensed professional
2
    who can make that decision.
 3
                   I think she's implying that when
 4
    that conversation is a difficult conversation to
5
    have or maybe a refusal to fill, that you have
 6
    that support, and I believe our teams do.
7
                   And then let's look at the end
             Ο.
8
    goal here. "The pharmacist dispensing the
 9
    at-risk medications (or to the at-risk patient)
10
    should document the interactions so well that
11
    anyone coming behind them will not have any
12
    reason to question their decision. This would
13
    include other pharmacists, supervisors, DEA
14
    agents, or state inspectors."
15
                   Do you agree with that statement?
                   I'm not opposed to documentation,
16
            Α.
17
    but how things are documented and in what way
18
    can be equally as damaging, if it's not conveyed
19
    in the right way, or could prevent care for a
20
    patient that hasn't been well thought out.
21
                   So additional feedback in a note
22
    by one pharmacist, I don't want that to be
23
    automatically applied and affect the judgment of
24
    the next pharmacist because that pharmacist
```

Highly Confidential - Subject to Further Confidentiality Review Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 5437-4 Filed: 05/13/24 18 of 22. PageID #: 635821

```
1
    claims X, Y, or Z about a patient. I want it to
2
    be the individual judgment of a pharmacist.
 3
                   So I am not opposed to
 4
    documentation. It's not a requirement. I still
5
    emphasize the fact that a trained professional
 6
    pharmacist has the ability to have a
7
    conversation with the patient and arrive at
8
    those decisions and without a requirement of
9
    documenting every detail of that conversation
10
    that led them to their decision to fill or not.
11
12
         (Leonard Deposition Exhibit 11 marked.)
13
14
    BY MS. BURNS:
15
            Q.
                   Okay. We can finish with this
16
    document.
17
                   We are going to move -- we are
18
    going to completely change what we're doing here
19
    and Gina is going to put up P-PUB-732, which is
20
    not in your box or in front of you because it's
21
    a video. So it's like in school when all the
22
    kids get really excited because the AV part
23
    rolls in.
24
                   So quickly I'm just going to ask
```

```
video?
 1
 2
             Α.
                   I did not help him put together
 3
    the video.
 4
                   Have you seen the video?
             Q.
 5
                   I have seen the video.
             Α.
 6
 7
         (Leonard Deposition Exhibit 14 marked.)
 8
 9
                   MS. BURNS: Okay. Let's watch the
10
             video.
11
                   (The following video clip was
12
             played.)
13
                   "Dain Rusk: Good morning or
14
             afternoon for those of you that are
15
             attending the afternoon managers
16
             meetings.
17
                   "It's been about six months since
18
             I last had the opportunity to talk to
19
             you prior to our last managers meeting,
20
             but I really wanted to take some time to
21
             really talk about all the great things
22
             that we've accomplished in 2018 and even
23
             in the past six months.
2.4
                   "And first and foremost, what I
```

```
1
             would tell you is that what I'm so proud
 2.
             of and the greatest accomplishment that
 3
             I think that we've had is we ended 2018
 4
             with Publix pharmacy being the number
 5
             one pharmacy chain in the industry.
 6
                   "That's an amazing accomplishment
 7
             and congratulations to each one of you
 8
             as well as your teams for achieving
 9
             something that probably never felt like
10
             could be achieved in the first place.
11
                   "And I would tell you we've become
12
             the envy of our competition. Publix
13
             pharmacy was the number one performing
14
             pharmacy in terms of sales and
15
             prescriptions for all of 2018."
                   (Video stopped.)
16
17
    BY MS. BURNS:
18
             0.
                   Ms. Leonard, is that Dain Rusk on
19
    the screen in front of us?
20
                   That is Dain Rusk on the screen in
             Α.
21
    front of us.
22
             Q.
                   And is Mr. Rusk currently the vice
23
    president of pharmacy at Publix?
24
             Α.
                   Yes, he is currently the vice
```

Highly Confidential - Subject to Further Confidentiality Review Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 5437-4 Filed: 05/13/24 21 of 22. PageID #: 635824

```
1 president.
```

- 2 Q. And was he the vice president of
- 3 Publix at the time this video was shown to
- 4 pharmacy managers back in the second quarter of
- 5 2019?
- 6 MS. WHITE: Object to form.
- 7 A. He was the vice president of
- 8 pharmacy, not of Publix, but Publix pharmacy.
- 9 But this also isn't the video that
- 10 I was thinking. This was not, I don't believe,
- 11 his introductory video. And he referenced that
- 12 almost like this was a second video. So my year
- of his first introduction -- this doesn't sound
- 14 like the first introductory video that he did.
- 15 So this may be the second one.
- So my -- when he started may be
- off by a year, because I feel like he did that
- 18 when he first started. It was well received, so
- 19 I think this is him doing it again when we
- 20 asked.
- 21 Q. Have you seen this second video
- 22 before?
- A. I have seen this video.
- Q. And do you happen to know where if

1	with hard work and effort on each one of
2	you.
3	"As you recall from our last
4	meeting, we talked about how we were
5	going to narrow our focus and really
6	focus on those things that matter the
7	most so we could drive our business to
8	be more successful, to be more
9	foundationally sound is what I would
10	say.
11	"And it's a testament to you and
12	your teams that we did exactly that. We
13	had the best performing year of Publix's
14	pharmacy in 2018. We ended 2018 at
15	\$3.6 billion. That's the most
16	successful year that we've ever had at
17	Publix pharmacy.
18	"And, again, that's because of the
19	effort that each one of you and your
20	teams put forth to just make sure that
21	we were taking care of our customers and
22	our patients each and every day.
23	"You know, fast-forward, that
24	momentum carried us into 2019. And,